



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/492,052	01/26/2000	Jin-Soo JEONG	P992070 -	9396
33942 75	90 05/06/2003			
CHA & REITER			EXAMINER -	
411 HACKENSACK AVE, 9TH FLOOR HACKENSACK, NJ 07601		(GARY, ERIKA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2681	7
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003	ł

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	09/492,052	JEONG, JIN-SOO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE of the	Erika A. Gary	2681			
The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statalance of the second	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a runication.) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute. cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>06 November 2002</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2	2b)☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi Disposition of Claims	for allowance except for formal ma ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	tters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the a	application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict Application Papers	ion and/or election requirement.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)⊡ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by t	he Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>06 November 2002</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)⊡ disapproved by the Examiner					
If approved, corrected drawings are req	uired in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim t	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority of	documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority of	documents have been received in A	pplication No			
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies o application from the Internation* See the attached detailed Office action	f the priority documents have been ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). for a list of the certified copies not	•			
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign langer 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim form 	guage provisional application has be	een received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page 1	O-948) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 7			

Art Unit: 2681

FINAL REJECTION

Drawings

1. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on November 6, 2002 have been approved. A proper drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The correction to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 9, and 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dufour, US Patent Number 6,073,010 (hereinafter Dufour) in view of Chow et al., US Patent Number 6,546,253 (hereinafter Chow).

Regarding claim 1, Dufour discloses a method for controlling a hand-off of an MS (Mobile Station) for home zone service (fixed subscriber area) in a mobile network [col. 3: lines 18-21, 61-67], comprising the steps of:

(a) establishing a call connection between said MS and a BTS (Base Transceiver Station) providing the home zone service [col. 5: lines 50-52];

Art Unit: 2681

- (b) requesting a BSC (Base Station Controller) to make the hand-off to a hand-off targeted BTS via said BTS [col. 4: lines 5-6];
- (c.) determining whether said hand-off targeted BTS belongs to the home zone service and whether said MS is registered to be used only in he home zone service [col. 7: lines 14-15; col. 6: lines 7-10];
- (d) performing the hand-off of said MS to said hand-off targeted BTS if said hand-off targeted BTS belongs to the home zone service [col. 7: lines 15-18];
- (e) dropping said call connection if said hand-off targeted BTS does not belong to the home zone service and if said MS is registered to be used only in the home zone service [col. 3: lines 38-41]; and
- (f) performing the hand-off of said MS to said hand-off targeted BTS if said hand-off targeted BTS does not belong to the home zone service and said MS is registered to be used both in the home zone service and a non-home zone service [col. 6: lines 1-5].

What Dufour does not specifically disclose is that the home zone service of the MS is the home zone service of a fixed network. However, Chow discloses controlling hand-off of an MS wherein the MS is registered to be used in the home zone service of a fixed network [col. 2: line 66 – col. 3: line 3].

Dufour and Chow are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, that is, controlling handoff from a fixed home zone service area. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Dufour to include Chow. The motivation for this combination would have been to provide the specific handoff steps of Dufour to Chow's cordless/cellular system as

Art Unit: 2681

Dufour teaches controlling handoff from a fixed subscription area which functions as Chow's home zone service of a fixed network.

Regarding claim 9, Dufour discloses the step (e) of dropping said call connection further includes the steps of sending a BTS acknowledging signal to said MS, sending a warning message to said MS, and causing said MS to output said warning message to inform the subscriber of said MS [col. 7: lines 18-20].

Regarding claim 10, Dufour discloses said warning message comprises either one of visual characters, tones, and flash light [col. 7: lines 19-20].

3. Claims 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dufour in view of Chow.

Regarding claim 11, Dufour discloses a method for controlling a hand-off of mobile station (MS) for home zone service (fixed subscriber area) in a mobile telecommunications system [col. 3: lines 18-21, 61-67] including a plurality of base transceiver station (BTSs), a base station controller (BSC) for controlling said BTSs, and a mobile switching center (MSC) for connecting said BSC with one BTS to another BTS or another network, wherein said BTS provides the home zone service [col. 3: lines 10-12; col. 4: lines 25-27], the method comprising the steps of:

(a) causing said MS to connect a communication channel with said BSC via said another BTS in response to either one of an outgoing call and an incoming call [col. 4: lines 58-60];

Art Unit: 2681

- (b) causing said BSC to store a home zone list and a subscriber service class information of said MS received from said MSC [col. 3: lines 29-38]; and
- (c.) causing said BSC to selectively perform the hand-off requested by said MS according to the home zone list and the subscriber service class information [col. 3: lines 64-67].

What Dufour does not specifically disclose is that the home zone service of the MS is the home zone service of a fixed network. However, Chow discloses controlling hand-off of an MS wherein the MS is registered to be used in the home zone service of a fixed network [col. 2: line 66 – col. 3: line 3].

Dufour and Chow are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, that is, controlling handoff from a fixed home zone service area. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Dufour to include Chow. The motivation for this combination would have been to provide the specific handoff steps of Dufour to Chow's cordless/cellular system as Dufour teaches controlling handoff from a fixed subscription area which functions as Chow's home zone service of a fixed network.

Regarding claim 12, Dufour discloses the step of dropping said communication channel if said another BTS is not included in the home zone list and if said MS is registered to be used only in the home zone service [col. 3: lines 38-41].

Regarding claim 13, Dufour discloses the step of dropping said communication channel comprises the step of sending an attention message to said MS and causing

Art Unit: 2681

said MS to output said attention message to inform the subscriber of said MS [col. 7: lines 18-20].

Regarding claim 14, Dufour discloses said attention message comprises either one of visual characters, tones, and flash light [col. 7: lines 19-20].

Regarding claim 15, Dufour discloses the hand-off is performed if said another BTS is included in the home zone list [col. 7: lines 14-17].

Regarding claim 16, Dufour discloses the hand-off is performed if the subscriber class information specifies that said MS registered to be used both in the home zone service and a non-home zone service [col. 6: lines 1-5].

4. Claims 2-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dufour and Chow in view of Kazmi, US Patent Number 6,044,261 (hereinafter Kazmi).

Claim 2 depends on claim 1. As discussed above, the combination of Dufour and Chow discloses the limitations of claim 1. What the combination does not specifically disclose are the limitations of claim 2 disclosing additional call establishment steps. However, Kazmi teaches these limitations as will be discussed below.

Regarding claim 2, Kazmi discloses a mobile telecommunications system with multiple home zones wherein the step of establishing a call connection includes

(h) causing said MSC to request the home zone information of said MS from a HLR in response to a request to establish said call connection between said MS and said BTS [col. 7: lines 13-17]; and

Art Unit: 2681

(i) causing said HLR to send the home zone information to said MSC [col. 7: lines 17-25]. It is obvious that the home zone information is stored in the BSC once it is received in order to correctly implement the call processing. Further, Kazmi's MSC corresponds to the functionality of the BSC in the present invention and Kazmi's HLR corresponds to functionality of the MSC in the present invention. It is well known in the art that functions of the BSC can be performed by the MSC as they are sometimes implemented as one unit and likewise for the HLR and MSC.

Dufour, Chow, and Kazmi are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, that is call processing for mobile telecommunication networks with designated home zones. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Dufour and Chow to include Kazmi. Dufour discloses that mobile stations are capable of initiating calls through the BTS and MSC [col. 4: lines 58-60], but does not provide further call establishment details. It is well known in the art to request subscriber profile data before establishing a call to ensure accurate call processing or billing, for example. The motivation for the combination would have been to specifically include the call establishment details for further clarification.

Regarding claim 3, Dufour discloses the home zone information includes a home zone list having a plurality of BTSs providing the home zone service to said MS and a subscriber class information specifying whether said MS is registered to be used in the non-home zone service [col. 2: lines 55-59].

Art Unit: 2681

Regarding claim 4, Kazmi discloses the step (h) of sending the home zone information from said HLR to said MSC is achieved through a call proceeding message [col. 7: lines 13-25]. Again, Kazmi's MSC corresponds to the functionality of the BSC in the present invention and Kazmi's HLR corresponds to functionality of the MSC in the present invention.

Regarding claim 5, Dufour discloses the home zone information is stored in a database [col. 2: lines 55-59].

Regarding claim 6, Dufour discloses the database is stored in an LRS (Location Registration System) [col. 2: lines 55-59].

Regarding claim 7, Dufour discloses the step (c.) of determining whether said hand-off targeted BTS belongs to the home zone service is performed by determining whether said hand-off targeted BTS is included in the home zone list [col. 5: lines 63-65].

Regarding claim 8, Dufour discloses the step (c) of determining whether said MS is registered to be used only in the home zone service is determined based on the subscriber class information of said MS [col. 6: lines 7-10].

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2681

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erika A. Gary whose telephone number is 703-308-0123. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dwayne Bost can be reached on 703-305-4778. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750 or to the 2600 Customer Service Office at 703-306-0377.

Any response to this final action should be mailed to: Box AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

April 10/2003

DWAYNE BOST
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER